

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,374	09/11/2000	Dietrich Haarer	SPM-301-A	2294
7590 10/20/2003			EXAMINER .	
Andrew R Basile			CROSS, LATOYA I	
Young & Basile Suite 624 3001 West Big Beaver Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
Troy, MI 48	084		DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim 4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/601,374	HAARER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	LaToya I. Cross	1743				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	ulv 2003					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		procedution as to the morits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under I						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-25</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	')□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	7.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/601,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7-11-03 has been entered. Claims 1-10 and 12-25 are pending.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in the second paragraph at page 2 of the specification, Applicants refer to the claims. It is improper to refer forward in such a manner. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b). Further, claims 4 and 5 refer to the drawings for the chemical structure of the indicator. It is suggested that Applicants incorporate these structures into the claims, instead of referring to the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 16-19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by W096/06643 to Kanakkanatt

Art Unit: 1743

5. Kanakkanatt '648 teaches packaging materials containing dyes to be used to indicate possible spoilage and indicate that a package has been exposed to undesirably high or low temperatures (See abstract). At page 2, lines 18-21, Kanakkanatt '648 teaches incorporation of photochromic dyes into polymeric materials (matrix) as recited in claim 1. When the photochromic dye is exposed to specific stimuli, such as UV light, a color change in the dye results. At page 3, lines 9-22, Kanakkanatt '643 teaches using the dyes in packaging materials, as recited in claim 2. At page 5, lines 29-38, the reference teaches that the color change that results may be reversible where the matrix is to be used again. Regarding claims 16-19, Kanakkanatt '632 teaches that the dye materials may be affixed to (as in a substrate) or incorporated into the packaging materials or may be included as a coating (page 6, lines 31-37). With respect to claims 3, 7 and 9, Kanakkanatt '643 provides examples 1-5, wherein indicator dyes are incorporated into polymeric materials and due to the interactions of the substituent group of the dye, a reversible color change forms. These reactions are a result of transferring of different molecules upon contact with UV light. At page 3, lines 17-19, Kanakkanatt '643 teaches that the color change of the photochromic dye may be temporary to denote successful irradiation completion. In example 1 of the reference, a photochromic dye is used as an indicator. Upon exposure to UV light, the indicator changes colors and then returned to the original color (clear). This reversible color change is equivalent to Applicants' claimed "discoloration following photo-induced coloration".

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants' claimed invention is deemed to be anticipated by Kanakkanatt '648.

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 4-6, 8 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanakkanatt '643 in view of <u>Journal of Physical Chemistry</u> article "Photochromism and Thermochromism driven by Intramolecular Proton Transfer in Dinitrobenzyl pyridine Compounds" authored by Corval et al.

The disclosure of Kanakkanatt '643 is given in detail above.

Kanakkanatt '643 fails to disclose photochromic dyes having the formula of claims 4 and 5.

Corval et al teach 2-(2, 4-dinitrobenzyl) pyridine (having the formula of claim 4 and shown in the reference as structure 1) and 2-(2, 4-dinitrobenzyl)- 1, 10-phenanthroline (having the formula of claim 5 and shown in the reference as structure 6). The article teaches that these compounds undergo a photochromic process from a photon transfer reaction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1743

to use these compounds in the packaging materials of Kanakkanatt '643 due to their unique ability to change visually in response to radiation light. In using such compounds, an effective indicator of spoilage in food products can be provided.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants' claimed invention is deemed to be obvious over Kanakkanatt '643 in view of Corval et al.

9. Claims 10, 12-15, 20-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanakkanatt '643 in view of US Patent 3,999,946 to Patel et al.

The disclosure of Kanakkanatt '643 is given above.

Kanakkanatt '643 does not disclose the additional use of a non-reversible indicator.

Patel et al '946 teach indicator compositions to be used in packaging materials to determine whether perishable items have been exposed to undesirable time — temperature history. Patel et al '946 use indicator dyes whose color change is irreversible, as recited in claim 12. Regarding claims 13 and 14 and 22. Patel et al '946 teach using a filter material with the indicator to eliminate undesirable photo-induced reactions (col. 8, lines 5-8). At col. 12, lines 7-9, Patel et al '946 use a reference chart to compare the resulting color, as in claims 15, 20 and 24.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use both a reversible indicator dye and an irreversible indicator dye because use of such would allow instant indication of undesirable time temperature conditions and simultaneously serve as a recording device to show a history of the time temperature conditions.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants' invention is deemed to obvious, in view of Kanakkanatt '643 and Patel et al '946.

Art Unit: 1743

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed on December 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue, with respect to the Kanakkanatt '643 reference, that the photochromic dyes are taught to identify the one-time occurrence of a specific stimuli, namely, irradiation treatment of a packaged product. Applicants assert that the reference fails to teach "discoloration following photo-induced coloration". The Examiner disagrees. At page 3 of the Kanakkanatt '643, the reference teaches that photochromic dye indicators may under a temporary color change to denote successful irradiation completion. Further at page 5 of the reference, Kanakkanatt '643 teaches that for applications where the indicator is to be reused without remolding, the color change would desirably be temporary or reversible. The reference also recognizes that some applications will require a temporary color change. To illustrate the temporary color changes, the indicator in example 1 (a photochromic dye indicator) is exposed to UV light. Upon exposure, the indicator changes colors and then returns to its original clear color. This color change followed by disappearance of color is the same as Applicants' claimed "discoloration following photo-induced coloration". It is noted that in addition to temporary color changes, Kanakkanatt '648 also teaches permanent color changes; however, the reference clearly sets forth the manner in which one of ordinary skill in the art would go about making a reversible indicator by using temperature changes and/or exposure to chemicals.

.Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 703-305-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

LaToya Cross

Patent Examiner

AU 1743

September 22, 2003